

**Minutes of Technical Evaluation Committee Meeting
Held 20-12-2025**

1. The Technical Evaluation Committee meeting was held on 20-12-2025 under the chairmanship of Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, regarding technical evaluation of the offers for the framework contract for Purchase of Different Store Items for this hospital.
2. Following committee members attended the meeting:
 Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology
 Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology
 Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery
 Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)
 Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)
 Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer
3. The technical sheets were prepared by the DMS (Purchase) & Technical Officer, Health Department, Lahore and was placed before the committee.
4. The committee decided that the clauses of tender enquiry & PPRA rules 2014, will be followed strictly.
5. The following items were discussed:-

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
1	Framework contract for Purchase of Surgical Gauze	M/S Karim Industries	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S hafiz Pharma Industry	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Arsons Pharma	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Cotton Craft	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Kohinoor Industries	Technically Qualified subject to final outcome of PPRA decision in the light of honorable Lahore High Court order WP.59047 of 2025 dated 8-10-2025.	Responsive
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive






AS y S. J. Saker Th Sawar su yfua

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Pieces Engineering	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shahmeen Pvt	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Delivery Period no mentioned on main technical Offer. 3- Bid Validity not mentioned on Main technical Offer. 4- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
3	Framework Contract for Purchase of Uniform & Liveries	M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
4	Framework Contract for Purchase of IT Store Items	M/S Global Solution	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 2- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 3- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Yahya & Co	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 3- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 4- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. 5- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 6- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not fulfill. 7- Copy of CNIC not attached. 8- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Apex Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 years above Rs. 10 million, with Aduit Statements, not attached. 2- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
5	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive


Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Khyber International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Print valley	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Al noor Printer	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
6	Framework Contract for Purchase of Stationery Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Khan Sons	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amir & Co	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Brightway Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
7	Framework Contract for Purchase of cost of other Store Items	M/S Fine Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Popular International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Azad Scientific	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Arham Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached (only 1 year attached). 9- Quoted items by the firm not DRAP registered. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Omer Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Orient Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medicare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Ever Clean	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Wasiq Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fab healthcare	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Minimum 03 Years experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence, not in relevant field. 2- Authorization from manufacturer/imported/Distributor for imported items, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
M/S Surgiquips	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive		

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
8	Framework Contract for Purchase of Chemicals Surgical Disposable Items	M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Save on Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Sadqain Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Mian Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Allied Surgical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads




Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology



Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology



Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery



Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)



Dr. Uzma Akber Mirza, AMS (Linen Store)



Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)



Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer



**SAMPLE EVALUATION SHEET FOR THE FRAME WORK CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORE ITEMS
LAHORE GENERAL HOSPITAL LAHORE.**

S.No	Name of Items	M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khan Sons	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Fellow Enterprises	M/S Amir & Co.	M/S Brightway
		Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance
1	ACR Form Gazzetted, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ
2	Acrylic plate 24"x30 as per sample	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ
3	Bali Point	✓	NQ	✓	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
4	Blank Register 150 pages , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
5	Blank Register 300 pages , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
6	Blank Register 500 pages , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
7	Bar Code Sticker Roll , 20x40 as per sample ,2000 stickers per roll	NA Not According to specification size	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	SNP
8	Bar code Ink Ribbon Roll, Blue , Black as per sample	NA Not According to specification size	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	SNP
9	Box File, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
10	Computer Paper 70gm, Size: A5 (IMPORTED) as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA Local Paper	✓	✓	✓
11	Computer Paper 70gm, Size: A4 (IMPORTED)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

S-7 *K* *Sawa* *J* *SN* *Shuk*

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khan Sons	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Fellow Enterprises	M/S Amir & Co.	M/S Brightway
12	Computer Paper 80gm, Size: A4 (IMPORTED)	✓	✓	✓	✓	XNA Pakwan Local	✓	✓	✓
13	Computer Paper 80gm, Size: Legal (IMPORTED)	✓	NQ	✓	SNP	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Calclater 14 Digit , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
15	Color Tags, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
16	Envelop 10x12 , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
17	Envelop 9x4, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
18	Flapper Dorry. as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
19	File Cover Vip , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	SNP
20	File Folder,with clip as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	✓
21	Highlighter Markers, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
22	Led pencil, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
23	Laces Tag, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khan Sons	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Fellow Enterprises	M/S Amir & Co.	M/S Brightway
24	Pointer (Black,Blue,Red) as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
25	Permanent Marker Black, Blue,Red Color , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
26	Printing Paper for pay Roll 80 Column , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
27	Roller Steel, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
28	Ribbon LX350, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ
29	Ribbon LQ2090 & 2080, as per sample	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ
30	Stamp Pad ink, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
31	Stamp Pad Large size, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
32	Stapler Machine with pin remover, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
33	Stapler Machine Large	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	SNP	✓
34	Stapler Pin Large size 24/6, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
35	Stock Register, with FolioIndex, as per sample 500 pages	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khan Sons	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Fellow Enterprises	M/S Amir & Co.	M/S Brightway
36	Stock Register, with FolioIndex, as per sample 300 pages	✓	NQ	NQ	SNP	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
37	Short Hand book, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
38	Thermal Paper Roll	N/A According to size	NQ	✓	✓	N/A According to size	✓	✓	✓
39	Unibal Signo 0.7mm Red Black Blue, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
40	White Fuide Marker , as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	SNP
41	White Marker for plastic Board, as per sample	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	NQ	NQ	✓	✓
42	White paper 68gm, as per sample	✓	NA	NQ	✓	NQ	✓	✓	✓

y

S. A. Sahas

KP

Sewa

Sun

sun