

**Minutes of Technical Evaluation Committee Meeting
Held 20-12-2025**

- The Technical Evaluation Committee meeting was held on 20-12-2025 under the chairmanship of Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, regarding technical evaluation of the offers for the framework contract for Purchase of Different Store Items for this hospital.
- Following committee members attended the meeting:
 Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology
 Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology
 Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery
 Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)
 Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)
 Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer
- The technical sheets were prepared by the DMS (Purchase) & Technical Officer, Health Department, Lahore and was placed before the committee.
- The committee decided that the clauses of tender enquiry & PPRA rules 2014, will be followed strictly.
- The following items were discussed:-

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
1	Framework contract for Purchase of Surgical Gauze	M/S Karim Industries	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S hafiz Pharma Industry	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Arsons Pharma	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Cotton Craft	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Kohinoor Industries	Technically Qualified subject to final outcome of PPRA decision in the light of honorable Lahore High Court order WP.59047 of 2025 dated 8-10-2025.	Responsive
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive

AS y S. J. Saker Th Sawa su yfua


Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Pieces Engineering	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shahmeen Pvt	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Delivery Period no mentioned on main technical Offer. 3- Bid Validity not mentioned on Main technical Offer. 4- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
3	Framework Contract for Purchase of Uniform & Liveries	M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
4	Framework Contract for Purchase of IT Store Items	M/S Global Solution	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 2- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 3- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Yahya & Co	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 3- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 4- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. 5- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 6- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not fulfill. 7- Copy of CNIC not attached. 8- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Apex Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 years above Rs. 10 million, with Aduit Statements, not attached. 2- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
5	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink at the bottom of the page.


Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Khyber International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Print valley	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Al noor Printer	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
6	Framework Contract for Purchase of Stationery Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Khan Sons	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amir & Co	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Brightway Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
7	Framework Contract for Purchase of cost of other Store Items	M/S Fine Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Popular International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Azad Scientific	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Arham Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached (only 1 year attached). 9- Quoted items by the firm not DRAP registered. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Omer Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Orient Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medicare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Ever Clean	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Wasiq Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fab healthcare	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Minimum 03 Years experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidance, not in relevant field. 2- Authorization from manufacturer/imported/Distributor for imported items, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
M/S Surgiquips	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive		



Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
8	Framework Contract for Purchase of Chemicals Surgical Disposable Items	M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Save on Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Sadqain Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Mian Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Allied Surgical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads




Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology



Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology



Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery



Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)



Dr. Uzma Akber Mirza, AMS (Linen Store)



Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)




Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer



**SAMPLE EVALUATION SHEET FOR THE FRAME WORK CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE OF PRINTING STORE ITEMS
LAHORE GENERAL HOSPITAL LAHORE.**

S.No	Name of Items	M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
		Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Sample Rejection/Acceptance
1	Admission and Discharge register , 8 x13 68gm 300sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Attendance Register, 8x13 68gm 50sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Attendance Register, 8x13 68gm 250 sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Anesthesia Consent form performa set ,68 gm set of 07 leaves	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Antenatal card for Gynae opd , 11x17 ,Art card 300mg	✓	✓	✓	SNP	✓	✓
6	Bin Card 8½ x 13½ 250gm Art Card White	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Blood Transfusion form	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Car parking Sticker	✓	✓	SNP	✓	✓	SNP
9	Call Paper Request form 68gm, 8.5x11, pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Consent form 68gm, 8.5x11, pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	COD Pharmacy Slip 68gm, as per sample 8x5 pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓



 S. A. Samir R. Sawia S. S.

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
12	Discharge Slips 68gm,8.5x11,pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Doctor order sheet 8.5x1168gm,pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Dispatch register , 8 x13 68gm 300sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Diary register , 8 x13 68gm 300sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Death certificate 8.5 x11 68gm , Pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Digital Printing Pumphlete with Lamination A3	SNP	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP
18	Digital Printing Pumphlete with Lamination A4	SNP	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP
19	Expense book 300 sheets as per sample 8 x 13 68gm	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Fluid balance sheet 8.5 x11 68gm , Pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	File Cover (Large Size) Printed, 11 x 14 250gm	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	History sheets 8.5 x 11 68gm , pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	History form Mri & Ct Scan 8.5x11, pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Iv Labeling Fluid Sticker, as per Sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓

SNP

✓

SNP

✓

✓

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
25	Indent book with numbering 1-100 8x13 68gm book of 100 pages with hard binding	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Icu Flow Sheet 80gm, as per sample 14.5x19.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Infection Check List performa 80gm ,8.5x11 pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Investigation request form as per sample 68 gm pad of 100 pages 6x4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Laundry book with numbering 1-100 8½ x 13½ 68gm, pad of 100 pages white	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Medication sheet 8.5 x11 68gm , pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Mri consent form 8.5x11 68gm pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32	Mri Ct Log book 8x13 68gm book of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33	MLC register as per sample , pages 13 x 16, 68gm 240 sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Nursing Notes 8.5x11 68 gm , pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Nursing Report Book, 68 gm, 300 Sheets as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Operation Register 250 Sheets,13x16, 68 gm as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	office Pad , Imported paper 5x8 , as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
38	Out door register 8 x 13 68gm, Register of 500 sheets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	O form for Dengue patient with carbon 50 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	SNP	✓	✓
40	Patient referral performa, 68gm, as per sample 8.5x11, pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
41	Penaflex printing, as per sample	SNP	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP
42	Physiotherapy card	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
43	Patient File Gynae , 68gm , 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 49 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
44	Patient File Orthopedic , 68gm, 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 44 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
45	Patient File Peads , 68gm, 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 40 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
46	Patient File Sugical , 68gm, 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 62 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
47	Patient File Ophthalmology Department , 68gm, 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 48 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Patient File Dialysis, 68gm , title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11 , file of 132 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
49	Patient File for ENT Department , 68gm, 8.5X11, title Art card 250gm, file of 44 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
50	Patient File Nephrology , 68gm , title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11, file of 88 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

J

S. J. Akter







9/6

Saukat

Sun

Amir K

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
51	Patient File Urology, 68gm, title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11, file of 68 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Patient File Medical, 68gm, 8.5x11, title Art card 250gm, file of 44 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
53	Patient File for Gastro Department, 68gm, 8.5x11, title Art card 250gm, file of 40 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
54	Patient File Pulmonology, 68gm, title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11, file of 40 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
55	Patient File Psychiatry, 68gm, title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11, file of 48 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
56	Patient File Dermatology, 68gm, title Art card 250gm, 8.5x11, file of 48 pages, as per sample	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
57	Receipt book plain with Numbering 13x4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
58	Radiology Form 8.5 x 11 68gm, pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
59	Register Log Book 8x13 68gm, 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
60	Request Form for Culture and Sensitivity 68gm, 8x11 pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
61	Summary Register 13 x 17 68gm	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
62	Temperature chart Graph & BP Pulse 68gm, 8.5x11, Pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
63	Ultrasound Abdoman Pads 8½ x 11 68gm, Pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

		M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shine Enterprises	M/S Khyber International	M/S Zeehan Enterprises	M/S Print Valley	M/S AL Noor
64	Ultrasound Pelvic Pads 8½ x 11 68gm , Pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
65	Vital sign sheets 8.5x11 68gm , pad of 100 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
66	X-ray entry register size according to the sample 8 x 13 68gm, Register of 500 pages	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
67	X stand with Flex	SNP	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP

S. M. Jaffer 1/2

y

su

SNP