

**Minutes of Technical Evaluation Committee Meeting
Held 20-12-2025**

1. The Technical Evaluation Committee meeting was held on 20-12-2025 under the chairmanship of Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, regarding technical evaluation of the offers for the framework contract for Purchase of Different Store Items for this hospital.
2. Following committee members attended the meeting:
 Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology
 Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology
 Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery
 Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)
 Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)
 Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer
3. The technical sheets were prepared by the DMS (Purchase) & Technical Officer, Health Department, Lahore and was placed before the committee.
4. The committee decided that the clauses of tender enquiry & PPRA rules 2014, will be followed strictly.
5. The following items were discussed:-

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
1	Framework contract for Purchase of Surgical Gauze	M/S Karim Industries	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S hafiz Pharma Industry	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Arsons Pharma	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Cotton Craft	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved.	Responsive
		M/S Kohinoor Industries	Technically Qualified subject to final outcome of PPRA decision in the light of honorable Lahore High Court order WP.59047 of 2025 dated 8-10-2025.	Responsive
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive






AS y S. J. Saker Th Sawar su yfua

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
2	Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items	M/S Pieces Engineering	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shahmeen Pvt	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Delivery Period no mentioned on main technical Offer. 3- Bid Validity not mentioned on Main technical Offer. 4- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
3	Framework Contract for Purchase of Uniform & Liveries	M/S Umair Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medtex Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shahbaz Traders	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
4	Framework Contract for Purchase of IT Store Items	M/S Global Solution	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 2- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 3- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Yahya & Co	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 3- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 4- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. 5- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 6- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not fulfill. 7- Copy of CNIC not attached. 8- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Apex Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 years above Rs. 10 million, with Aduit Statements, not attached. 2- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
5	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive


Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
	Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items	M/S Khyber International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Print valley	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Al noor Printer	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
6	Framework Contract for Purchase of Stationery Store Items	M/S Amjad Ali	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Non Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Khan Sons	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Zeeshan Enterprises	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Victoria Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Amir & Co	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Brightway Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
7	Framework Contract for Purchase of cost of other Store Items	M/S Fine Solution	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Popular International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Azad Scientific	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Arham Traders	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached (only 1 year attached). 9- Quoted items by the firm not DRAP registered. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
		M/S Omer Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fellows Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Orient Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Medicare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Ever Clean	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Shine Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Wasiq Enterprises	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Fab healthcare	The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Minimum 03 Years experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidance, not in relevant field. 2- Authorization from manufacturer/imported/Distributor for imported items, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached.	Non Responsive
M/S Surgiquips	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive		

Sr #	Name of Item	Name of Firm	Remarks	Decision
8	Framework Contract for Purchase of Chemicals Surgical Disposable Items	M/S vertex Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Save on Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Sadqain Healthcare	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Apsta International	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Mian Medical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive
		M/S Allied Surgical	As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached)	Responsive

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads




Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology



Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology



Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery



Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)



Dr. Uzma Akber Mirza, AMS (Linen Store)



Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)



Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer



**SAMPLE EVALUATION SHEET FOR FRAME WORK CONTRACT FOR THE PURCHASE OF LINEN STORE ITEMS
LAHORE GENERAL HOSPITAL, LAHORE.**

Sr. #	Name of Items	M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Pieces Engineering	M/S Shahmeen PVT	M/S Umair Traders	M/S Medtex Solution	M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shahbaz Traders
		Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance	Reason of Rejection/Acceptance
1	Blue Dasuti Fast Colour width 36" 100% cotton, as per sample	✓	NA:- Due to the demand specification and poor weaving check by lens	✓	SNP	SNP	NA:- Not according to spec & due to shrink the cloth after washing	Blue color SNP	✓
2	Green Dasuti Fast Colour width 36" 100% cotton, as per sample	✓	NA:- Due to specification and poor weaving check by lens	✓	SNP	SNP	NA:- Weaving not according to specification & cloth shrink after wash.	NA:- Weaving not according to specification check by lens	✓
3	Top Tax Cloth width 54", as per sample	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	NA:- due to the poor weaving and transparency	✓	NA Sample not according to specification	✓
4	Shirting Cloth Blue lining width 36" 100% cotton, as per sample	✓	SNP	NA Not according to the specification	SNP	✓	✓	✓	NA:- Weaving is very thin and low quality check by lens
5	Parda Cloth Jursy width 45", as per sample	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP	✓	✓	NA:- Weaving is very thin so the quality of cloth very low

Sawia hand Re S. J. Uzman

		M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Pieces Engineering	M/S Shahmeen PVT	M/S Umair Traders	M/S Medtex Solution	M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shahbaz Traders
6	Doctor Towel size 24"x48", as per sample	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	NA:- Towel shrink after washing but good quality	✓	NA:- Not according to the specification	✓
7	Latha White 100% cotton width 36", as per sample	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	✓	✓	SNP	✓
8	Blue Colour Zeen Fabric Cloth fine width 58" as per sample	✓	SNP	SNP	SNP	NA:- not according our specification and not fine fiber because of low quality	✓	NA:- not according specification 3 sample is very low quality	✓
9	<p>Bed sheet</p> <p>Material:- Cotton 50% with 50% Polyester content (-9, +9)</p> <p>End & Picks:- Ends per inch: 85 (-7, +7 or better) & Pick per inch 45 (-7, +7 or better)</p> <p>Size of the bed sheet:- 104" length and 56" width (-2" +2" or better)</p> <p>Weave Type:- Twill weave 2/1</p> <p>GSM (Gram per Square meter):- 210 (-9%, +9% or better)</p> <p>Color Fastness to washing:- Shade Change= 4 (-2, +2 or better) Staining =4 (-2, +2 or better)</p> <p>Tensile Strength:- Warp =min. 1300N (-9%, +9% or better).</p> <p>Weft= min. 650N (-9%, +9% or better)</p> <p>Edge finishing;- Turn under edge 6mm (-2, +2 or better) sewed.</p> <p>Packaging:- Pack of 12 Bed sheets of 03 colors (4 bed Sheet of each color) or specified by procuring agency.</p> <p>Color;- White, light blue, Grey or any other color required by the Procuring Agency. (4812 Nos White color, 4812 Nos Grey Colour and 4812 Nos light blue color)</p> <p>Insignia/Logo:- Insignia/Logo of the "Lahore general Hospital Lahore" in Green color embroidered in the center of bed sheet (12 inches, -2", +2" or better).</p>	SNP	Not as per specs	28854 Approved ✓	28853 Approved ✓	SNP	28852 Not as per required specs report attached	SNP	SNP
10	Bed Sheet White Plane for Dead Bodies size 44"x88" as per sample	✓	SNP	✓	SNP	✓	✓	✓	✓

Sawia *KG* *Saf* *Younis*
_____ *_____* *_____* *_____*

		M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises	M/S Victoria Traders	M/S Pieces Engineering	M/S Shahmeen PVT	M/S Umair Traders	M/S Medtex Solution	M/S Amjad Ali	M/S Shahbaz Traders
11	Pillow Polyester with cover size 27x17 weight 1.25kg, as per sample	NA:- not according the specification	SNP	SNP	SNP	✓	✓	✓	SNP
12	OT Cloth Grey Blue, Maron, Black Colour with 54 as per sample	✓	SNP	NA:- the weaving and shift is not according specification	SNP	SNP	✓	✓	NA:- Chase by Lense not good wearing and low quality.

Savia
 print
 14
 S.M.
 Sams
 you