

**Minutes of Technical Evaluation Committee Meeting
Held 20-12-2025**

1. The Technical Evaluation Committee meeting was held on 20-12-2025 under the chairmanship of Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, regarding technical evaluation of the offers for the framework contract for Purchase of Different Store Items for this hospital.
2. Following committee members attended the meeting:
 Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology
 Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology
 Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery
 Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)
 Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)
 Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer
3. The technical sheets were prepared by the DMS (Purchase) & Technical Officer, Health Department, Lahore and was placed before the committee.
4. The committee decided that the clauses of tender enquiry & PPRA rules 2014, will be followed strictly.
5. The following items were discussed:-

| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|------|--|----------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1 | Framework contract for Purchase of Surgical Gauze | M/S Karim Industries | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved. | Responsive |
| | | M/S hafiz Pharma Industry | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Arsons Pharma | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Cotton Craft | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. Sample of quoted item approved. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Kohinoor Industries | Technically Qualified subject to final outcome of PPRA decision in the light of honorable Lahore High Court order WP.59047 of 2025 dated 8-10-2025. | Responsive |
| 2 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items | M/S Liaqat Ali Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Victoria Traders | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |


AS y S. J. Saker Th Sawar su yfua

| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|------|---|------------------------|--|----------------|
| 2 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Linen Store Items | M/S Pieces Engineering | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Shahmeen Pvt | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Delivery Period no mentioned on main technical Offer. 3- Bid Validity not mentioned on Main technical Offer. 4- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Umair Traders | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Medtex Solution | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Amjad Ali | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Shahbaz Traders | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| 3 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Uniform & Liveries | M/S Umair Traders | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Medtex Solution | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Amjad Ali | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Shahbaz Traders | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |

| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|------|---|-------------------------|--|----------------|
| 4 | Framework Contract for Purchase of IT Store Items | M/S Global Solution | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 2- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 3- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Victoria Traders | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Fellows Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Yahya & Co | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 2- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 3- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 4- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. 5- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 6- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not fulfill. 7- Copy of CNIC not attached. 8- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Apex Traders | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 years above Rs. 10 million, with Aduit Statements, not attached. 2- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| 5 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items | M/S Amjad Ali | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Shine Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |


| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|------|---|---------------------------|--|----------------|
| | Framework Contract for Purchase of Printing Store Items | M/S Khyber International | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Zeeshan Enterprises | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Print valley | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Al noor Printer | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| 6 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Stationery Store Items | M/S Amjad Ali | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Compliance Sheet not attached with technical offer. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Shine Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Khan Sons | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Zeeshan Enterprises | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Delivery Period not mentioned on main technical offer. 2- Bid Validity not mentioned on main technical offer. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Victoria Traders | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Company Profile, Not Attached. 2- Bank Statement/ Balance Sheet (Last year), Not attached. 3- Minimum 03 Years' Experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence (PO/WO/DC copies) not attached. 4- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. 6- Income Tax Returns of last three year, not attached. 7- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached. 8- Work/Supply/Purchase Orders of previous experience • Company Profile and Staff List • Income Tax Returns / Audited Statements not attached. 9- Compliance sheet, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Fellows Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Amir & Co | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Brightway Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |

| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|--|----------------|
| 7 | Framework Contract for Purchase of cost of other Store Items | M/S Fine Solution | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S vertex Medical | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Popular International | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Azad Scientific | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Satisfactory Past Performance Certificates from Top Competent Authority for Quoted Products, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Arham Traders | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Authority Letter in favor of person(s) authorized to sign bid & attend meetings (with cell numbers), not attached. 5- Average Annual Turnover of last 03 year above Rs. 10 million with audit statement, not attached (only 1 year attached). 9- Quoted items by the firm not DRAP registered. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| | | M/S Omer Healthcare | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Fellows Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Orient Medical | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Medicare | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Ever Clean | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Shine Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Apsta International | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Wasiq Enterprises | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Fab healthcare | The Said firm did not fulfill the Technical Evaluation Criteria:- 1- Minimum 03 Years experience in relevant field/category with documentary evidence, not in relevant field. 2- Authorization from manufacturer/imported/Distributor for imported items, not attached. Moreover Detail of sample Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached. | Non Responsive |
| M/S Surgiquips | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive | | |



| Sr # | Name of Item | Name of Firm | Remarks | Decision |
|------|--|-------------------------|---|------------|
| 8 | Framework Contract for Purchase of Chemicals Surgical Disposable Items | M/S vertex Medical | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Save on Healthcare | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Sadqain Healthcare | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Apsta International | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Mian Medical | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |
| | | M/S Allied Surgical | As per Bidding Documents & Fulfill Evaluation criteria. (Detail of samples Approved/ not Approved by the sample evaluation committee mention on Technical comparative statement attached) | Responsive |

Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shahid, Prof of Peads




Prof. Dr. Akif Dilshad, Prof of Gastroenterology



Prof. Dr. Ahmad Khaqan, Prof of Ophthalmology



Dr. M. Shabbir Ahmad, Associate Prof Surgery



Dr. Yahya Sultan, AMS (Stores)



Dr. Uzma Akber Mirza, AMS (Linen Store)



Dr. Zeeshan Hadder, AMS (Purchase)



Engr. Ali Natiq Zaidi, Bio Medical Engineer/Technical Officer



**SAMPLE EVALAUTION SHEET FOR FRAME WORK CONTRACT FOR IT STORE ITEMS
LAHORE GENERAL HOSPITAL LAHORE.**

| S.No | Name of Items | M/S Global Solution | M/S VictoriaTraders | M/S Fellow Enterprises | M/S Yahya & Co. | M/S Apex trader |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance | Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance | Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance | Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance | Reason of Sample rejection/Acceptance |
| 1 | USB 64 GB, as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | ✓ |
| 2 | USB 8 GB, as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | ✓ |
| 3 | Key Board, A4 as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | ✓ |
| 4 | HP Toner 26A (Genuine), as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | ✓ |
| 5 | HP Toner 79A (Genuine), as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 6 | HP Toner 85A (Genuine), as per sample | SNP | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 7 | HP Toner 51A (Genuine), as per sample | ✓ | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 8 | HP Toner 80A (Genuine), as per sample | SNP | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 9 | HP Toner 55A (Genuine), as per sample | SNP | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 10 | HP Toner 43 X (Genuine), as per sample | SNP | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |
| 11 | HP Toner 76A (Genuine), as per sample | SNP | NQ | ✓ | NQ | SNP |

